Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board Thursday, September 17, 2009, 9:30 a.m.

VCU MCV Molecular Medicine Research Center 1220 E. Broad Street, 1st Floor Multipurpose Room Richmond, Virginia 23219

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order and Introductions
- 2. Approval of Minutes from July 23, 2009
- 3. Director's Report
- 4. Changes to the Board's Proposed Virginia Stormwater Management Program Permit Regulations
 - a. Staff overview
 - b. Board questions and discussion
 - c. Public Comment

NOTE: No Board action will be taken at this meeting. The Board will take action at their October meeting. SEE ATTACHED REGULATORY DISCUSSION DOCUMENT

- 5. Erosion and Sediment Control
 - a. Final Approval of Alternative Inspection Program
 - i. Warren County
 - b. Local Programs recommended to be found consistent following completion of Corrective Action Agreement (CAA)
 - i. Cities: Lexington
 - ii. Counties: Brunswick, Campbell, Prince William
 - c. Local Programs recommended to be found inconsistent based on Initial Review and request for Board approval of Corrective Action Agreement (CAA)
 - i. Counties: Gloucester
 - d. Local Programs previously found inconsistent and request for Board to extend Corrective Action Agreement (CAA)
 - i. Cities: Covington
 - ii. Counties: Chesterfield, Greensville

Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board September 17, 2009 AGENDA REVISED: 9/10/2009 2:16:18 PM

- e. Continuation of Local Program Review
 - i. Counties: Spotsylvania
- f. Timeline for Revisions to Local Program Erosion and Sediment Control Program Review
- 6. Dam Safety Certificates and Permits (See attached list for dams scheduled for consideration)
 - a. Compliance Issues
 - 1. Enforcement Actions
 - 2. Conditional Certificates
 - b. Regular Certificates
 - c. Construction and Alteration Permits
 - d. Extensions
 - e. Update on the Dam Safety, Flood Prevention and Protection Assistance Fund
- 7. Local Soil and Water Conservation District Operations
 - a. Recommended Procedure for Filing Soil & Water Conservation District Director Vacancies on District Boards
 - b. District Director Resignations and Appointments
- 8. Partner Reports
 - a. Department of Conservation and Recreation
 - b. Natural Resources Conservation Service
 - c. Virginia Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts
- 9. Election of Officers
- 10. Public Comment
- 11. New Business
- 12. Upcoming Meetings

October 6, 2009

Special Called Meeting for the Purpose of Considering Adoption of Final Stormwater Management Regulations
East Reading Room, The Patrick Henry Building
Richmond, Virginia

November 17, 2009 Location TBA

13. Adjourn

Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board

Linda S. Campbell, Chairman

Darlene Dalbec

Gary Hornbaker

Joseph H. Maroon, DCR Director

Jean R. Packard

Raymond L. Simms

Granville M. Maitland, Vice Chair

Susan Taylor Hansen

Joseph H. Maroon, DCR Director

Michael J. Russell

John A. Bricker, NRCS, Ex Officio

Potential Key Changes to Address Public Comments Received on the Proposed Stormwater Management Regulations

1. Water Quality Standards for New Development and Redevelopment

Summary of Board Proposed Language and Recommended Amendments

Applying same standards to Chesapeake Bay and the Southern Rivers

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- All parts of the state are covered under 0.28 phosphorus standard.
- No exceptions to this uniform standard exist for different portions of the state, small sites, redevelopment areas, or Urban Development Areas.

Recommended for change:

- 0.28 standard applies in the Chesapeake Bay for new development; 0.45 for non-Bay areas.
- Localities which have lands that drain into both the Bay watershed and non-Bay watersheds may choose which standard to apply to non-Bay areas.
- Localities statewide may always elect to use a stricter standard. (ex: Swift Creek Reservoir; 0.22 phosphorus standard)

Applying 0.28 standard on small sites (less than 1 acre; small infill and commercial sites)

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- Land disturbance between 2500 sq. ft. and 1 acre in the Bay Act area would be subject to the statewide 0.28 standard.
- The threshold for regulation is an acre or greater outside of the Bay Act area.

Recommended for change:

• Land disturbance between 2500 sq. ft. and 1 acre in the Bay Act area would be held to the statewide 0.45 standard. (unless they are part of a "common plan of development" in which case, the "common plan of development" standard applies)

Applying the redevelopment standard of 20% on small sites

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

• The proposed regulations establish a redevelopment standard that requires 20% reduction in phosphorus below the predevelopment load.

Recommended for change:

- 10% for redevelopment sites disturbing less than 1 acre.
- 20% for redevelopment sites disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre.

Applying the water quality standards in Urban Development Areas

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

Not addressed.

Recommended for change:

• Within a UDA in the Bay watershed (greater than or equal to 1 acre), a qualifying local program may establish a standard between 0.28 and 0.45.

• The rationale for the standard(s) selected shall be provided to the Board and shall discuss a series of factors utilized to make the determination.

Actual Recommended Language

4VAC50-60-63. Water quality [design]criteria requirements.

In order to protect the quality of state waters and to control [nonpoint source pollution stormwater pollutants], the following minimum technical criteria and statewide standards for stormwater management shall be applied to the site of a land-disturbing activity. [The local program shall have discretion to allow for application of the criteria to each drainage area of the site. However, where a site drains to more than one HUC, the pollutant load reduction requirements shall be applied independently within each HUC unless reductions are achieved in accordance with a comprehensive watershed stormwater management plan in accordance with 4VAC50 60 96.]

- 1. New development. The total phosphorus load of new development projects shall not exceed [0.28 0.45] pounds per acre per year, as calculated pursuant to 4VAC50-60-65[, except:
- a. The total phosphorus load of a new development project disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed shall not exceed 0.28 pounds per acre per year, as calculated pursuant to 4VAC50-60-65.
- b. Within Urban Development Areas designated pursuant to §15.2-2223.1 in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed a qualifying local program may establish a phosphorus reduction requirement between 0.28 and 0.45 pounds per acre per year in order to encourage smarter growth. The qualifying local program shall provide to the board a justification for any standards established if greater than 0.28. The standard shall be based upon factors including, but not limited to, number of housing units per acre for residential development, floor area ratio for non-residential development, level of imperviousness, brownfield remediation potential, mixed-use and transit oriented development potential, proximity to the Chesapeake Bay or local waters of concern, and the presence of impaired waters. This provision shall not apply to department administered local programs.
- c. Localities that have lands that drain to both the Chesapeake Bay Watershed and other non-Chesapeake Bay watersheds may choose to apply the 0.28 pounds per acre per year phosphorus standard to land disturbing activities that discharge to watersheds other than the Chesapeake Bay Watershed.
- 2. Development on prior developed lands.
- [a.] The total phosphorus load of [projects a project] occurring on prior developed lands [and disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre] shall be reduced to an amount at least 20% below the predevelopment total phosphorus load.
- [b. The total phosphorus load of a project occurring on prior developed lands and disturbing less than 1 acre shall be reduced to an amount at least 10% below the predevelopment total phosphorus load.]
- [c.] [However, the The] total phosphorus load shall not be required to be reduced to below [0.28 pounds per acre per year the applicable standard for new development | unless a more stringent standard has been established by a qualifying local program.
- 3. Compliance with [subdivisions 1 and 2 shall be determined in accordance with] 4VAC50-60-65 [shall constitute compliance with subdivisions 1 and 2 of this section].
- 4. TMDL. In addition to the above requirements, if a specific WLA for a pollutant has been established in a TMDL and is assigned to stormwater discharges from a construction activity,

1 2	necessary control measures must be implemented by the operator to meet the WLA in
43	accordance with the requirements established in the General Permit for Discharges of
14	Stormwater from Construction Activities or an individual permit, which address both
45	construction and postconstruction discharges.
46	5. Nothing in this section shall prohibit a qualifying local program from establishing a more
1 7	stringent standard.]

2. Water Quantity Standards for Channel Protection and Flood Protection

Summary of Board Proposed Language and Recommended Amendments

Channel protection

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- Stormwater discharged from a site to an unstable channel must be released at or below a "forested" peak flow rate condition.
- No exceptions to the standard are provided.

Recommended for change:

- Stormwater discharged from a site to an unstable channel must be released at or below a "good pasture" peak flow rate condition unless the pre-developed condition for the site is forest, in which case, the runoff from shall be held to the forested condition.
- Exceptions to this standard are provided to a land disturbing activity:
 - o less than 5 acres on prior developed lands.
 - o less than 1 acre for new development.
- Under the exception, the sites are expected to improve upon the pre-developed runoff condition.

Flood Protection

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- Where localized flooding exists during the 10-year 24-hour storm, the post-development peak flow rate must not exceed the predevelopment peak flow rate based on "forested" conditions.
- No exceptions to the standard are provided.

Recommended for change:

- Where localized flooding exists during the 10-year 24-hour storm, the post-development peak flow rate must not exceed the predevelopment peak flow rate based on "good pasture" conditions unless the pre-developed condition for the site is forest, in which case, the peak flow rate shall be held to the forested condition.
- Same as above, exceptions to this standard are provided to a land disturbing activity:
 - o less than 5 acres on prior developed lands.
 - o less than 1 acre for new development.
- Under the exception, postdevelopment peak flow rate for the 10-year 24-hour storm must be less than the predevelopment peak flow rate from the 10-year 24-hour storm.

Actual Recommended Language

4VAC50-60-66. Water quantity.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

- A. Channel protection and flood protection shall be addressed in accordance with the minimum standards set out in this section, which are established pursuant to the requirements of subdivision 7 of § 10.1-603.4 of the Code of Virginia.
- B. Channel protection. Concentrated stormwater flow from the site and offsite contributing areas shall be released into a stormwater conveyance system and shall meet one of the following criteria as demonstrated by use of accepted hydrologic and hydraulic methodologies:

8 1. Concentrated stormwater flow to manmade stormwater conveyance systems. The point of 9 discharge releases stormwater into a manmade stormwater conveyance system that, following 10 the land-disturbing activity, conveys the postdevelopment peak flow rate from the two-year 24hour storm without causing erosion of the system. 11 12 2. Concentrated stormwater flow to restored stormwater conveyance systems. The point of 13 discharge releases stormwater into a stormwater conveyance system that (i) has been restored 14 and is functioning as designed or (ii) will be restored. The applicant must demonstrate that the runoff following the land-disturbing activity, in combination with other existing stormwater 15 16 runoff, will not exceed the design of the restored stormwater conveyance system nor result in instability of the system. 17 18 3. Concentrated stormwater flow to stable natural stormwater conveyance systems. The point 19 of discharge releases stormwater into a natural stormwater conveyance system that is stable 20 and, following the land-disturbing activity, (i) will not become unstable as a result of the discharge from the one-year 24-hour storm, and (ii) provides a peak flow rate from the one-21 year 24-hour storm calculated as follows or in accordance with another methodology that is 22 demonstrated by the local program to achieve equivalent results and is approved by the board: 23 $Q_{Developed} * RV_{Developed} \le Q_{Pre-Developed} * RV_{Pre-Developed}$, where 24 Q_{Developed} = The allowable peak flow rate of runoff from the developed site. 25 Q_{Pre-Developed} = The peak flow rate of runoff from the site in the predeveloped condition. 26 $\underline{RV}_{Pre-Developed} = The volume of runoff from the site in the predeveloped condition.$ 27 28 <u>RV_{Developed}</u> = The volume of runoff from the developed site. 29 4. [a. Except as set out in subdivision b, concentrated Concentrated Istormwater flow to 30 unstable natural stormwater conveyance systems. Where the point of discharge releases 31 stormwater into a natural stormwater conveyance system that is unstable, stormwater runoff 32 following a land-disturbing activity shall be released into a channel at or below a peak flow 33 rate (Q_{Developed}) based on the one-year 24-hour storm, calculated as follows or in accordance with another methodology that is demonstrated by the local program to achieve equivalent or 34 35 more stringent results and is approved by the board: 36 QDeveloped * RVDeveloped \le Q Forested Good Pasture | * RV Forested Good Pasture |, where Q_{Developed} = The allowable peak flow rate from the developed site. 37 $Q_{[Porested Good Pasture]}$ = The peak flow rate from the site in a [forested good pasture] 38 39 condition. 40 RV Forested Good Pasture = The volume of runoff from the site in a [forested good pasture] 41 42 <u>RV_{Developed}</u> = The volume of runoff from the developed site. 43 unless the pre-developed condition is forested, in which case, both the peak flow rate and the volume of runoff from the developed site shall be held to the forested condition. 44 45 b. This subsection shall apply to concentrated stormwater flow to unstable natural stormwater conveyance systems from: i) a land disturbing activity less than 5 acres on prior developed 46 47 lands, or ii) a regulated land disturbing activity less than 1 acre for new development. Where 48 the point of discharge releases stormwater into a natural stormwater conveyance system that is unstable, stormwater runoff following a land-disturbing activity shall provide a peak flow rate 49 50 from the one-year 24-hour storm, calculated as follows or in accordance with another 51 methodology that is demonstrated by the local program to achieve equivalent or more stringent 52 results and is approved by the board:

53

 $Q_{Developed} * RV_{Developed} \le Q_{Pre-Developed} * RV_{Pre-Developed}$, where

54 55	$Q_{Developed}$ = The allowable peak flow rate from the developed site. Such peak flow rate must be less than $Q_{Pre-Developed}$.
56	$Q_{\text{Pre-Developed}}$ = The peak flow rate from the site in pre-development condition.
57	$RV_{Pre-Developed}$ = The volume of runoff from the site in pre-development condition.
58	$RV_{Developed}$ = The volume of runoff from the developed site. Such volume must be less
59	than RV _{Pre-Developed.}]
60	C. Flood protection. Concentrated stormwater flow shall be released into a stormwater conveyance
61	system and shall meet one of the following criteria as demonstrated by use of accepted hydrologic and
62	hydraulic methodologies:
63	1. Concentrated stormwater flow to manmade stormwater conveyance systems. The point of
64	discharge releases stormwater into a manmade stormwater conveyance system that, following
65	the land-disturbing activity, confines the postdevelopment peak flow rate from the 10-year 24-
66	hour storm within the manmade stormwater conveyance system.
67	2. Concentrated stormwater flow to restored stormwater conveyance systems. The point of
68	discharge releases stormwater into a stormwater conveyance system that (i) has been restored
69	and is functioning as designed or (ii) will be restored. The applicant must demonstrate that the
70	peak flow rate from the 10-year 24-hour storm following the land-disturbing activity will be
71	confined within the system.
72	3. Concentrated stormwater flow to natural stormwater conveyance systems. The point of
73	discharge releases stormwater into a natural stormwater conveyance system that currently does
74	not flood during the 10-year 24-hour storm and, following the land-disturbing activity,
75 75	confines the postdevelopment peak flow rate from the 10-year 24-hour storm within the
76	<u>system.</u>
77 - 2	4. [a.] Concentrated stormwater flow to natural stormwater conveyance systems where
78 7 8	localized flooding exists during the 10-year 24-hour storm. The point of discharge releases a
79	postdevelopment peak flow rate for the 10-year 24-hour storm that shall not exceed the
80	predevelopment peak flow rate from the 10-year 24-hour storm based on [forested good
81 82	pasture] conditions [, unless the pre-developed condition is forested, in which case the peak flow rate from the developed site shall be held to the forested condition].
83	*
84	[b. Subsection (B)(4)(a) notwithstanding, this subsection shall apply to concentrated stormwater flow to natural stormwater conveyance systems where localized flooding exists
85	during the 10-year 24-hour storm from: i) a land disturbing activity less than 5 acres on prior
86	developed lands, or ii) a regulated land disturbing activity less than 1 acre for new
87	development. The point of discharge releases a postdevelopment peak flow rate for the 10-
88	year 24-hour storm that is less than the predevelopment peak flow rate from the 10-year 24-
89	hour storm.]
90	5. A local program may adopt alternate flood protection design criteria that (i) achieve
91	equivalent or more stringent results, (ii) are based upon geographic, land use, topographic,
92	geologic, or other downstream conveyance factors, and (iii) are approved by the board.
93	D. One percent rule. If either of the following criteria are met, subsections A and B of this section
94	do not apply:
95	1. Based on area. Prior to any land disturbance, the site's contributing drainage area to a point
96	of discharge from the site is less than or equal to 1.0% of the total watershed area draining to
97	that point of discharge; or

2. Based on peak flow rate. Based on the postdevelopment land cover conditions prior to the implementation of any stormwater quantity control measures, the development of the site results in an increase in the peak flow rate from the one-year 24-hour storm that is less than 1.0% of the existing peak flow rate from the one-year 24-hour storm generated by the total watershed area draining to that point of discharge.

E. Increased volumes of sheet flow resulting from pervious or disconnected impervious areas, or from physical spreading of concentrated flow through level spreaders, must be identified and evaluated for potential impacts on down gradient properties or resources. Increased volumes of sheet flow that will cause or contribute to erosion, sedimentation, or flooding of down gradient properties or resources shall be diverted to a [detention facility, stormwater management facility] or a stormwater conveyance system that conveys the runoff without causing down gradient erosion, sedimentation, or flooding. If all runoff from the site is sheet flow and the conditions of this subsection are met, no further water quantity controls are required.

F. For purposes of computing predevelopment runoff from prior developed sites, all pervious lands on the site shall be assumed to be in good hydrologic condition in accordance with the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) standards, regardless of conditions existing at the time of computation. Predevelopment runoff calculations utilizing other hydrologic conditions may be utilized provided that it is demonstrated to and approved by the local program that actual site conditions warrant such considerations.

G. Predevelopment runoff characteristics and site hydrology shall be verified by site inspections, topographic surveys, available soil mapping or studies, and calculations consistent with good engineering practices in accordance with guidance provided in the Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook and by the qualifying local program.

H. Except where the compliance options under subdivisions B 4 and C 4 of this section are utilized, flooding and channel erosion impacts to stormwater conveyance systems shall be analyzed for each point of discharge in accordance with channel analysis guidance provided in Technical Bulletin # 1, Stream Channel Erosion Control, or in accordance with more stringent channel analysis guidance established by the qualifying local program and provided to the department. Such analysis shall include estimates of runoff from the developed site and the entire upstream watershed that contributes to that point of discharge. Good engineering practices and calculations in accordance with department guidance shall be used to evaluate postdevelopment runoff characteristics and site hydrology, and flooding and channel erosion impacts.

If the downstream owner or owners refuse to give permission to access the property for the collection of data, evidence of this refusal shall be given and arrangements made satisfactory to the local program to provide an alternative method for the collection of data to complete the analysis, such as through the use of photos, aerial surveys, "as built" plans, topographic maps, soils maps, and any other relevant information.

3. Offsite Compliance Options

Summary of Board Proposed Language and Recommended Amendments

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- The existing proposed regulations contained three provisions for offsite compliance.
 - o COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: If a local comprehensive watershed stormwater management plan has been adopted for the area within which a project is located, then the development may be able to use offsite options to achieve all or part of the water quality and quantity technical criteria.
 - O DEVELOPER SITE: Where no such plan exists, a development project may use offsite options to meet <u>water quality</u> technical criteria if they control or own property within the same HUC or the adjacent <u>downstream</u> HUC to the land-disturbing site.
 - o LOCAL PRO-RATA: A pro-rata fee payment option also exists that is tied to the local comprehensive watershed management plan option.
- Each offsite option is at the discretion of the locality.

Recommended for change:

- Create a new section that contains all of the offsite compliance options (numbered 4VAC50-60-69).
- 5 offsite options are provided:
 - o COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: Same as above.
 - o DEVELOPER SITE: The option was modified to specify that controls must be located within the same HUC or within the upstream HUCs in the local watershed that the land disturbing activity directly discharges to.
 - O LOCAL PRO-RATA: Expand use of this option. Specify that a locality may use a pro rata fee in accordance with § 15.2-2243 or similar funding mechanism to achieve offsite the <u>water quality and quantity</u> reductions required. Participants will pay a locally established fee sufficient to fund improvements necessary to adequately achieve those requirements.
 - o NUTRIENT OFFSET: Incorporate the new offset option passed by the 2009 General Assembly (<u>for water quality</u> and is according to stipulations in the legislation).
 - o BUY-DOWN: Add a new section to allow the developer at his discretion to meet the 0.28 onsite <u>water quality</u> standard (where applicable) or pay the difference at a set fee per acre/per pound into a state fund.
- The conditions that apply to the new BUY DOWN option are as follows:
 - This option may be utilized where the other 4 options are not available for use, or where a locality otherwise elects to allow the use.
 - o The payment shall be \$15,000 per pound of phosphorus not treated on site.
 - In an Urban Development Area the poundage reduction multiplier ratio is 1:1 (pounds not treated: pounds purchased).
 - In all other cases the ratio shall be 1:1.5.
 - o Payments will be deposited to the Virginia Stormwater Management Fund.
 - o The board shall establish priorities for the use of these payments by September 1 of each year (a list of preferences are provided).
 - o At least 50% of the payments shall be utilized for projects to address local urban stormwater quality issues.

- o The remaining payments shall be utilized to fund long-term agricultural best management or to purchase offsets in accordance with §10.1-603.8:1.
- o The department shall track the monies received and expended and the reductions needed and achieved.
- The department may annually utilize up to 6% of the payments to administer the stormwater management program.
- o The board shall periodically review the payment amount, at least every five years or in conjunction with the development of a new construction general permit.
- O Use of the buy down option is in accordance with the following limitations:
 - A new development project disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed must achieve at least 0.45 pounds per acre per year of phosphorus on site and then may achieve all or a portion of the remaining required phosphorus reductions through a payment.
 - A new development project disturbing less than 1 acre in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed may achieve all necessary phosphorus reductions through a payment.
 - Development on prior developed lands disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre must achieve at least a 10% reduction from the predevelopment total phosphorus load on site and then may achieve the remaining required phosphorus reductions through a payment.
 - Development on prior developed lands disturbing less than 1 acre may achieve all necessary phosphorus reductions through a payment.
- o Where the department is administering a local program, only the DEVELOPER SITE, NUTRIENT OFFSET, and BUY-DOWN offsite options shall be available

Actual Recommended Language

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

[4VAC50-60-69. Offsite compliance options

- A. A qualifying local program shall have the authority to consider the use of the following offsite compliance options:
- 1. If a comprehensive watershed stormwater management plan has been adopted pursuant to 4VAC50-60-92 for the local watershed within which a project is located, then the qualifying local program may allow offsite controls in accordance with the plan to achieve the water quality and quantity reductions required for a site by this chapter. Such offsite controls shall achieve the required reductions either completely offsite in accordance with the plan or in a combination of onsite and offsite controls.
- 2. If the qualifying local program allows for a pro rata fee in accordance with § 15.2-2243 of the Code of Virginia or similar funding mechanism, then the water quality and quantity reductions required for a site by this chapter may be achieved by the payment of a fee sufficient to fund improvements necessary to adequately achieve those requirements.
- 3. If the qualifying local program allows, a land disturbing activity may achieve compliance with water quality reductions required for a site by this chapter pursuant to the nonpoint nutrient offset program established by §10.1-603.8:1.
- 4. Where no comprehensive watershed stormwater management plan exists, offsite controls may be used to meet the water quality reductions required for a site by this chapter provided:
 - a The applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the local program that offsite reductions equal to or greater than those that would otherwise be required for the site are achieved;

- b. The applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the local program that the development's runoff and the runoff from any offsite treatment area shall be controlled in accordance with 4VAC50-60-66;
 - c. Offsite controls must be located within the same HUC or within the upstream HUCs in the local watershed that the land disturbing activity directly discharges to; and
 - d. The applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the local program that the right to utilize the offsite control area and any necessary easements have been obtained and maintenance agreements for the stormwater management facilities have been established pursuant to 4VAC50-60-124.
 - B. Where the offsite options of subsection A are not available for use, or where a qualifying local program otherwise elects to allow the use of this subsection, offsite compliance may be achieved through a payment in accordance with the following:
 - 1. The payment shall be \$15,000 per pound of phosphorus and shall be calculated based on the poundage not treated on site. When the land disturbing activity is in an Urban Development Area the poundage reduction multiplier shall be at a 1:1 (pounds not treated: pounds purchased) ratio, in all other cases the ratio shall be 1:1.5. Payment amounts shall be determined based upon the nearest 0.01 of a pound phosphorus.
 - 2. All payments shall be deposited and utilized in accordance with the following:
 - a. Payments received shall be deposited to the Virginia Stormwater Management Fund and held in a subaccount.
 - b. The board shall establish priorities for the use of these payments by September 1 of each year. Payments held in the fund shall be promptly applied to ensure that nutrient reduction practices are being implemented.
 - c. Priorities shall be established in accordance with the following:
 - i. At least 50% of the payments shall be utilized for projects to address local stormwater quality issues related to the impacts of development activities including but not limited to urban retrofits, urban stream restorations, and reduction of impervious areas.
 - ii. The remaining payments received shall be utilized to fund long-term contracts for agricultural best management practices no less than 20 years in duration or long-term best management practices including but not limited to stream fencing, alternative water supplies, and riparian buffers in accordance with the Virginia Agricultural BMP Cost Share Program. Such payments may additionally be used to purchase offsets in accordance with §10.1-603.8:1.
 - iii. Preference will be given to: purchasing existing credits; targeting equivalent reductions in the same local watershed as where the payment came from; implementing urban practices/retrofits that address TMDLs.; securing permanent practices; and achieving measurable reductions. When purchasing agricultural best management practices, the board shall consider purchasing practices beyond the baseline established under the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Nutrient Credit Exchange Program in accordance with §62.1-44.19:12 et seq.
 - d. The department shall track the payment amount, the associated poundage of phosphorus purchased, and the HUC for the land disturbing activity. The department shall additionally track the annual expenditure of the funds including where the monies are expended and the cost per pound for phosphorus reductions associated with the nutrient reduction practices.
 - e. The department may annually utilize up to 6% of the payments to administer the stormwater management program.
 - f. The board shall periodically review the payment amount, at least every five years or in conjunction with the development of a new construction general permit.

67	3. Utilization of a payment to achieve compliance with the water quality technical criteria shall be
68	subject to the following limitations:
69	a. A new development project disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre in the Chesapeake Bay

- a. A new development project disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed must achieve at least 0.45 pounds per acre per year of phosphorus on site and then may achieve all or a portion of the remaining required phosphorus reductions through a payment.
- b. A new development project disturbing less than 1 acre in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed may achieve all necessary phosphorus reductions through a payment.
- c. A new development project outside of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed must achieve all necessary phosphorus reductions on site.
- d. Development on prior developed lands disturbing greater than or equal to 1 acre must achieve at least a 10% reduction from the predevelopment total phosphorus load on site and then may achieve the remaining required phosphorus reductions through a payment.
- e. Development on prior developed lands disturbing less than 1 acre may achieve all necessary phosphorus reductions through a payment.
- 81 <u>C. Where the department is administering a local program, only offsite options set out in</u> 82 Subsections A3, A4, and B shall be available.]

4. Grandfathering

Summary of Board Proposed Language and Recommended Amendments

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- Does not contain grandfathering language.
- NOTE: The new Construction General Permit that became effective on July 1, 2009 does contain language to ensure that those projects which have received General Permit coverage would be held to this standard until at least the end of the General Permit cycle on June 30, 2014.

Recommended for change:

- Establishes a new section on Grandfathering numbered 4VAC50-60-48.
- Establishes a Part II B that contains today's existing stormwater standards and labels the new water quality and quantity provisions as Part II A.
- Grandfather multiple-phase projects that:
 - o File with or obtain approval from a local government of their plan of development by January 1, 2010; and
 - o Obtain VSMP general permit coverage by July 1, 2010.
- Where these two conditions are met the project is grandfathered to June 30, 2014.
- If permit coverage is continuously maintained, the project will remain subject to today's existing criteria until June 30, 2019.
- Should permit coverage not be maintained or if project construction continues beyond June 30, 2019, portions of those projects not completed shall be subject to the new Technical Criteria.
- Grandfather a project that is part of a common plan of development or sale that received VSMP general permit coverage prior to July 1, 2010. In those cases, the same standard that applied to the common plan of development will apply to the land disturbing activity within it.

Actual Recommended Language

[4VAC50-60-48. Grandfathering.

6

7

8 9

10

12

13

14

1

A. Where a plan of development for a multiple phase project, as determined by a local government, has been filed or approved with a local government by January 1, 2010, and VSMP general permit coverage has been obtained from the department prior to July 1, 2010, the land disturbing activity associated with the project is grandfathered and shall remain subject to the Part II B Technical Criteria until June 30, 2014. If permit coverage is continuously maintained for the land disturbing activity within the entire project area, then the project shall remain subject to the Part II B Technical Criteria until June 30, 2019. Should permit coverage not be maintained or if project construction continues beyond June 30, 2019, portions of those projects not completed shall be subject to the Part II A Technical Criteria.

11

B. Where a land disturbing activity is part of a common plan of development or sale that has obtained VSMP general permit coverage from the department prior to July 1, 2010, the land disturbing activity will be subject to the technical criteria of Part II B. The registration statement shall include

- 15 the permit coverage number for the common plan of development or sale for which association is
- being claimed.

5. Inspections

Summary of Board Proposed Language and Recommended Amendments

As Proposed at the September 2008 Meeting:

- Inspection required by an owner's engineer or the qualifying local program of all BMPs within a 5-year period.
- Maintenance agreements also required for all BMPs.

Recommended for change:

- Amend Section 124 so that maintenance agreements shall not be required for stormwater management facilities located on an individual residential lot, provided it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the qualifying local program that future maintenance of such a facility will be addressed through a deed restriction or other mechanisms.
- Amend Section 114 to limit owner inspections to only those for which a maintenance agreement is required.
- Localities would not be required to inspect stormwater BMPs on individual lots every 5 years.
- Authorizes a qualifying local program to develop a strategy for addressing maintenance of stormwater management facilities located on and designed to treat stormwater runoff from an individual residential lot. Such a strategy may include periodic inspections, public outreach and education, or other method targeted at promoting the long-term maintenance of such facilities.

Actual Recommended Language

4VAC50-60-114. Inspections.

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

10

11

1213

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

A. The qualifying local program or its designee shall inspect the land-disturbing activity during construction for compliance with the VSMP General Permit for Discharges of Stormwater from Construction Activities.

B. The person responsible for the development project or their designated agent shall submit to a qualifying local program a construction record drawing for permanent stormwater management facilities, appropriately sealed, and signed by a professional in accordance with all minimum standards and requirements pertaining to the practice of that profession pursuant to Chapter 4 (§ 54.1-400 et seq.) of Title 54.1 of the Code of Virginia and attendant regulations, certifying that the stormwater management facilities have been constructed in accordance with the approved plan. The qualifying local program shall have the construction record drawing and certification on file prior to the release of the portion of the performance bond or surety associated with the stormwater management facility.

C. The [owners owner] of [a] stormwater management [facilities facility for which a maintenance agreement is required pursuant to 4VAC50-60-124] shall be required to conduct inspections in accordance with an inspection schedule in [a the] recorded maintenance agreement, and shall submit written inspection and maintenance reports to the qualifying local program [upon request]. Such reports, if consistent with a board-approved inspection program established in subsection [D E] of this section, may be utilized by the qualifying local program if the inspection is conducted by a person who is licensed as a professional engineer, architect, [certified] landscape architect, or land surveyor pursuant to Article 1 (§ 54.1-400 et seq.) of Chapter 4 of Title 54.1 or who

- holds a certificate of competence from the board. The reports, if so utilized, must be kept on file with the qualifying local program
 - D. [A qualifying local program shall develop a strategy for addressing maintenance of stormwater management facilities located on and designed to treat stormwater runoff from an individual residential lot. Such a strategy may include periodic inspections, public outreach and education, or other method targeted at promoting the long term maintenance of such facilities. Such facilities shall not be subject to the requirement for an inspection to be conducted by the qualifying local program every 5 years contained within subsection E of this section.
 - E.] A qualifying local program shall establish an inspection program that ensures that the stormwater management facilities are being maintained as designed. Any inspection program shall be:
 - 1. Approved by the board prior to implementation;
 - 2. Established in writing;

- 3. Based on a system of priorities that takes into consideration the purpose and type of the facility, ownership and the existence of a recorded maintenance agreement and inspection schedule, the contributing drainage area, and downstream conditions;
- 4. Demonstrated to be an enforceable inspection program that meets the intent of the regulations and ensures that each stormwater management facility is inspected by the qualifying local program or its designee, not to include the owner, except as provided in [subsection subsections] C [and D] of this section, at least every five years; and
- 5. Documented by inspection records.
- [E. F.] Inspection reports shall be generated and kept on file in accordance with 4VAC50-60-126 for all stormwater management facilities inspected by the qualifying local program.

4VAC50-60-124. Qualifying local program stormwater management facility maintenance.

- A. Responsibility for the operation and maintenance of stormwater management facilities in accordance with this chapter, unless assumed by a governmental agency, shall remain with the property owner or other legally established entity and shall pass to any successor.
- [1.] The government entity implementing the qualifying local program shall be a party to [each a] maintenance agreement [for each stormwater management facility except as provided in subdivision 2]. Such maintenance agreement shall include a schedule for inspections by the owner, and, in addition to ensuring that each facility is maintained as designed, shall ensure that the designed flow and drainage patterns from the site to a permanent facility are maintained. Such agreements may also contain provisions specifying that, where maintenance or repair of a stormwater management facility located on the owner's property is neglected, or the stormwater management facility becomes a public health or safety concern and the owner has failed to perform the necessary maintenance and repairs after receiving notice from the locality, the qualifying local program may perform the necessary maintenance and repairs and recover the costs from the owner. In the specific case of a public health or safety danger, the agreement may provide that the written notice may be waived by the locality.
- [2. Maintenance agreements shall not be required for stormwater management facilities located on and designed to treat stormwater runoff from an individual residential lot, provided it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the qualifying local program that future maintenance of such a facility will be addressed through a deed restriction or other mechanism enforceable by the qualifying local program.
- B. [The Where a maintenance agreement is required for a stormwater management facility, the] qualifying local program shall be notified of any transfer or conveyance of ownership or responsibility for maintenance of a stormwater management facility.

67	C. [The Where a maintenance agreement is required for a stormwater management facility, the]
68	qualifying local program shall require right-of-entry agreements or easements from the property owner
69	for purposes of inspection and maintenance.